

Smooth functions associated with wavelet sets on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, and frame bound gaps

Emily J. King

Norbert Wiener Center
Department of Mathematics
University of Maryland, College Park

October 28, 2008

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Frame bounds and approximate identities
- 3 A canonical example
- 4 A shrinking method to obtain frames
- 5 Frame bound gaps
- 6 \mathcal{S} Parseval frames

Problem

- $2^d - 1$ wavelets are required to provide a wavelet orthonormal basis (ONB) with an MRA for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([Madych 92], [Auscher 95], and [Strohmer 93]).
- Until the mid-1990s, it was assumed that it would be impossible to construct a single dyadic wavelet ψ generating an ONB for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Dai and Larson [92 - 98] discovered wavelet sets, which generated single dyadic (non-MRA) wavelet systems. However, the earliest known examples had complicated spectral properties.
- The Neighborhood Mapping Construction [Benedetto and Leon 01] yields frame wavelet sets with nice spectral properties. We wish to smooth these frame wavelets, but unexpected results occur.

Problem

- $2^d - 1$ wavelets are required to provide a wavelet orthonormal basis (ONB) with an MRA for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([Madych 92], [Auscher 95], and [Strohmer 93]).
- Until the mid-1990s, it was assumed that it would be impossible to construct a single dyadic wavelet ψ generating an ONB for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Dai and Larson [92 - 98] discovered wavelet sets, which generated single dyadic (non-MRA) wavelet systems. However, the earliest known examples had complicated spectral properties.
- The Neighborhood Mapping Construction [Benedetto and Leon 01] yields frame wavelet sets with nice spectral properties. We wish to smooth these frame wavelets, but unexpected results occur.

Problem

- $2^d - 1$ wavelets are required to provide a wavelet orthonormal basis (ONB) with an MRA for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([Madych 92], [Auscher 95], and [Strohmer 93]).
- Until the mid-1990s, it was assumed that it would be impossible to construct a single dyadic wavelet ψ generating an ONB for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Dai and Larson [92 - 98] discovered wavelet sets, which generated single dyadic (non-MRA) wavelet systems. However, the earliest known examples had complicated spectral properties.
- The Neighborhood Mapping Construction [Benedetto and Leon 01] yields frame wavelet sets with nice spectral properties. We wish to smooth these frame wavelets, but unexpected results occur.

Problem

- $2^d - 1$ wavelets are required to provide a wavelet orthonormal basis (ONB) with an MRA for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([Madych 92], [Auscher 95], and [Strohmer 93]).
- Until the mid-1990s, it was assumed that it would be impossible to construct a single dyadic wavelet ψ generating an ONB for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Dai and Larson [92 - 98] discovered wavelet sets, which generated single dyadic (non-MRA) wavelet systems. However, the earliest known examples had complicated spectral properties.
- The Neighborhood Mapping Construction [Benedetto and Leon 01] yields frame wavelet sets with nice spectral properties. We wish to smooth these frame wavelets, but **unexpected results occur**.

Problem

- $2^d - 1$ wavelets are required to provide a wavelet orthonormal basis (ONB) with an MRA for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([Madych 92], [Auscher 95], and [Strohmer 93]).
- Until the mid-1990s, it was assumed that it would be impossible to construct a single dyadic wavelet ψ generating an ONB for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Dai and Larson [92 - 98] discovered wavelet sets, which generated single dyadic (non-MRA) wavelet systems. However, the earliest known examples had complicated spectral properties.
- The Neighborhood Mapping Construction [Benedetto and Leon 01] yields frame wavelet sets with nice spectral properties. We wish to smooth these frame wavelets, but unexpected results occur.

Wavelet and Fourier transform definition

Definition

For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let

$$\mathcal{W}(\psi) = \{D_n T_k \psi(x) = 2^{n/2} \psi(2^n x - k) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then ψ is an *orthonormal dyadic wavelet* or simply a *wavelet*.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the *Fourier transform* of f to be

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \hat{f}(\gamma) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \gamma} dx.$$

By Plancherel's Theorem, \mathcal{F} extends from $L^1 \cap L^2$ to a unitary operator $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$. We denote the inverse Fourier transform of a function $g \in L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^d})$ as $\mathcal{F}^{-1}g = \check{g}$.

Wavelet and Fourier transform definition

Definition

For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let

$$\mathcal{W}(\psi) = \{D_n T_k \psi(x) = 2^{n/2} \psi(2^n x - k) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then ψ is an *orthonormal dyadic wavelet* or simply a *wavelet*.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the *Fourier transform* of f to be

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \hat{f}(\gamma) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \gamma} dx.$$

By Plancherel's Theorem, \mathcal{F} extends from $L^1 \cap L^2$ to a unitary operator $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$. We denote the inverse Fourier transform of a function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $\mathcal{F}^{-1}g = \check{g}$.

Examples of wavelets

Example

- The *Haar wavelet* is $\psi = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1/2)} - \mathbb{1}_{[1/2,1)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- The *Shannon or Littlewood-Paley wavelet* is $\check{\mathbb{1}}_{[-1,-1/2) \cup [1/2,1)}$.
- The *Journé wavelet* is $\check{\mathbb{1}}_{[-\frac{16}{7},-2) \cup [-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{2}{7}) \cup [\frac{2}{7},\frac{1}{2}) \cup [2,\frac{16}{7})}$.

Definition

If $K \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is measurable and $\check{\mathbb{1}}_K$ is a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then K is a *wavelet set*.

The last 2 are examples of wavelet set wavelets. Dai and Larson, and Hernández, Wang, and Weiss initiated the theory of wavelet set wavelets.

Examples of wavelets

Example

- The *Haar wavelet* is $\psi = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1/2)} - \mathbb{1}_{[1/2,1)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- The *Shannon or Littlewood-Paley wavelet* is $\check{\mathbb{1}}_{[-1,-1/2) \cup [1/2,1)}$.
- The *Journé wavelet* is $\check{\mathbb{1}}_{[-\frac{16}{7}, -2) \cup [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2}{7}) \cup [\frac{2}{7}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup [2, \frac{16}{7})}$.

Definition

If $K \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is measurable and $\check{\mathbb{1}}_K$ is a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then K is a *wavelet set*.

The last 2 are examples of wavelet set wavelets. Dai and Larson, and Hernández, Wang, and Weiss initiated the theory of wavelet set wavelets.

Definition of a frame

Definition (Duffin and Schaeffer 1952)

$\{e_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq H$, a Hilbert space, is a *frame* for H if there exist $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$\forall f \in H, \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} |\langle f, e_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2. \quad (1)$$

- The maximal A and minimal B are the *optimal frame bounds*. The term *frame bound* will mean optimal frame bound.
- A frame is *tight* if $A = B$, and
- A frame is *Parseval* if $A = B = 1$.
- If the second inequality of (1) is true, but possibly not the first, then $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a *Bessel sequence*. In this case, we shall still refer to B as the upper frame bound.

Frame wavelets

Definition

If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then ψ is a *frame wavelet*, and $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a *wavelet frame*.

Definition

If $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is measurable and $\mathcal{W}(\check{L})$ is a frame (resp., tight frame or Parseval frame) for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then L is a *frame* (resp., *tight frame* or *Parseval frame*) *wavelet set*.

Frame wavelets

Definition

If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then ψ is a *frame wavelet*, and $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a *wavelet frame*.

Definition

If $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ is measurable and $\mathcal{W}(\check{1}_L)$ is a frame (resp., tight frame or Parseval frame) for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then L is a *frame* (resp., *tight frame* or *Parseval frame*) *wavelet set*.

(Frame) wavelet set characterization

Proposition

Let $K \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be measurable. The following are equivalent:

- K is a (Parseval frame) wavelet set.
- K is \mathbb{Z}^d -translation congruent to (a subset of) $[0, 1)^d$, and K is dyadic-dilation congruent to $[-1, 1)^d \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$.
- $\{K + k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a partition of (a subset of) $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ and $\{2^n K : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a partition of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$.

(Frame) wavelet set characterization

Proposition

Let $K \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be measurable. The following are equivalent:

- K is a (Parseval frame) wavelet set.
- K is \mathbb{Z}^d -translation congruent to (a subset of) $[0, 1)^d$, and K is dyadic-dilation congruent to $[-1, 1)^d \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$.
- $\{K + k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a partition of (a subset of) $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ and $\{2^n K : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a partition of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$.

(Frame) wavelet set characterization

Proposition

Let $K \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be measurable. The following are equivalent:

- K is a (Parseval frame) wavelet set.
- K is \mathbb{Z}^d -translation congruent to (a subset of) $[0, 1)^d$, and K is dyadic-dilation congruent to $[-1, 1)^d \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$.
- $\{K + k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a partition of (a subset of) $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ and $\{2^n K : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a partition of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$.

Set up and construction

[Benedetto and Leon 1999 – Benedetto and Sumetkijakan 2006]

- $K_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$, bounded neighborhood of 0.
- K_0 is \mathbb{Z}^d -translation congruent to $[0, 1]^d$.
- $S : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ injective, measurable such that

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d, \exists k_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d \quad \text{such that } S(\gamma) = \gamma + k_\gamma.$$

Definition

For each $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &= K_m \cap [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} K_m], \\ K_{m+1} &= (K_m \setminus A_m) \cup S(A_m), \\ \text{and } K &= [K_0 \setminus \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m] \cup [\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} (S(A_m) \setminus \bigcup_{n>m} A_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

This process is the *neighborhood mapping construction*. Loosely speaking, K is the limit of the K_m .

Theorem and remark

Theorem (Benedetto with Leon and Sumetkijakan, 1998 – 2006)

- Let K be defined by the neighborhood mapping construction. K is a wavelet set.
- For each $m \geq 0$, $K_m \setminus A_m$ is a Parseval frame wavelet set, and, for each $m > 0$, K_m is a frame wavelet set with frame bounds 1 and 2.

- Baggett, Medina, Merrill theory for all wavelet sets.
- Journé wavelets in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ can be constructed by neighborhood mapping construction.

Theorem and remark

Theorem (Benedetto with Leon and Sumetkijakan, 1998 – 2006)

- Let K be defined by the neighborhood mapping construction. K is a wavelet set.
- For each $m \geq 0$, $K_m \setminus A_m$ is a Parseval frame wavelet set, and, for each $m > 0$, K_m is a frame wavelet set with frame bounds 1 and 2.

- Baggett, Medina, Merrill theory for all wavelet sets.
- Journé wavelets in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ can be constructed by neighborhood mapping construction.

Daubechies-Christensen bounds

Theorem (Daubechies 92, Christensen 02)

Let $\psi \in L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$, and let $a > 0$ be arbitrary. Define

$$\mu_\psi(\gamma) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma + k) \right| \text{ and}$$

$$M_\psi = \text{esssup}_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \mu_\psi(\gamma) = \text{esssup}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \mu_\psi(\gamma).$$

If $M_\psi < \infty$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Bessel sequence with upper frame bound B , and $M_\psi \geq B$. Similarly, define

$$\nu_\psi(\gamma) = \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \right|^2 - \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma + k) \right| \right] \text{ and}$$

$$N_\psi = \text{essinf}_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \nu_\psi(\gamma) = \text{essinf}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \nu_\psi(\gamma).$$

If $N_\psi > 0$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame with lower frame bound $A \geq N_\psi$.

Daubechies-Christensen bounds

Theorem (Daubechies 92, Christensen 02)

Let $\psi \in L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$, and let $a > 0$ be arbitrary. Define

$$\mu_\psi(\gamma) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma + k) \right| \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_\psi = \operatorname{esssup}_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \mu_\psi(\gamma) = \operatorname{esssup}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \mu_\psi(\gamma).$$

If $M_\psi < \infty$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Bessel sequence with upper frame bound B , and $M_\psi \geq B$. Similarly, define

$$\nu_\psi(\gamma) = \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \right|^2 - \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma + k) \right| \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$N_\psi = \operatorname{essinf}_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \nu_\psi(\gamma) = \operatorname{essinf}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \nu_\psi(\gamma).$$

If $N_\psi > 0$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame with lower frame bound $A \geq N_\psi$.

Daubechies-Christensen bounds

Theorem (Daubechies 92, Christensen 02)

Let $\psi \in L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$, and let $a > 0$ be arbitrary. Define

$$\mu_\psi(\gamma) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma + k) \right| \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_\psi = \operatorname{esssup}_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \mu_\psi(\gamma) = \operatorname{esssup}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \mu_\psi(\gamma).$$

If $M_\psi < \infty$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Bessel sequence with upper frame bound B , and $M_\psi \geq B$. Similarly, define

$$\nu_\psi(\gamma) = \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \right|^2 - \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma + k) \right| \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$N_\psi = \operatorname{essinf}_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \nu_\psi(\gamma) = \operatorname{essinf}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \nu_\psi(\gamma).$$

If $N_\psi > 0$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame with lower frame bound $A \geq N_\psi$.

Chui-Shi-Jing bounds

Proposition (Chui and Shi 1993, Jing 99)

Define $\kappa_\psi(\gamma) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma)|^2$. If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a wavelet frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with bounds A and B , then, for almost all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$,

$$A \leq \kappa_\psi(\gamma) \leq B.$$

Corollary

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $a > 0$ be arbitrary. If $M_\psi < \infty$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Bessel sequence with bound B satisfying

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \kappa_\psi(\gamma) \leq B \leq M_\psi.$$

If, further, $N_\psi > 0$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame with lower frame bound A satisfying

$$N_\psi \leq A \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \kappa_\psi(\gamma).$$

Chui-Shi-Jing bounds

Proposition (Chui and Shi 1993, Jing 99)

Define $\kappa_\psi(\gamma) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\psi}(2^n \gamma) \right|^2$. If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a wavelet frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with bounds A and B , then, for almost all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$,

$$A \leq \kappa_\psi(\gamma) \leq B.$$

Corollary

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $a > 0$ be arbitrary. If $M_\psi < \infty$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Bessel sequence with bound B satisfying

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \kappa_\psi(\gamma) \leq B \leq M_\psi.$$

If, further, $N_\psi > 0$, then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame with lower frame bound A satisfying

$$N_\psi \leq A \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{a \leq \|\gamma\| \leq 2a} \kappa_\psi(\gamma).$$

Definition

Definition

An *approximate identity* is a family $\{k_{(\lambda)} : \lambda > 0\} \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of functions with the following properties:

- i.* $\forall \lambda > 0, \int k_{(\lambda)}(x)dx = 1;$
- ii.* $\exists K$ such that $\forall \lambda > 0, \|k_{(\lambda)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K;$
- iii.* $\forall \eta > 0, \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k_{(\lambda)}(x)|dx = 0.$

Well-known facts

Proposition

Suppose $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $\int k(x)dx = 1$. Define the family,

$$\{k_\lambda : k_\lambda(x) = \lambda^d k(\lambda x), \lambda > 0\},$$

of dilations. Then, the following assertions hold.

- $\{k_\lambda\}$ is an approximate identity;
- If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|f * k_\lambda - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0$;
- If k is an even function, there exists a subsequence $\{\lambda_m\}$ of $\{\lambda\}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int f(u) T_x k_{\lambda_m}(u) du = f(x) \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof

Proof of a.

To verify the condition of Definition 13.a, we compute

$$\int k_\lambda(x) dx = \lambda^d \int k(\lambda x) dx = \int k(u) du = 1.$$

For part b we compute

$$\int |k_\lambda(x)| dx = \lambda^d \int |k(\lambda x)| dx = \int |k(u)| du = K < \infty,$$

where K is finite since $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For part c, take $\eta > 0$ and compute

$$\int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k_\lambda(x)| dx = \lambda^d \int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k(\lambda x)| dx = \int_{\|u\| \geq \lambda\eta} |k(u)| du;$$

this last term tends to 0 as λ tends to ∞ since $\eta > 0$ and because of the definition of the integral. □

Proof

Proof of a.

To verify the condition of Definition 13.a, we compute

$$\int k_\lambda(x) dx = \lambda^d \int k(\lambda x) dx = \int k(u) du = 1.$$

For part b we compute

$$\int |k_\lambda(x)| dx = \lambda^d \int |k(\lambda x)| dx = \int |k(u)| du = K < \infty,$$

where K is finite since $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For part c, take $\eta > 0$ and compute

$$\int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k_\lambda(x)| dx = \lambda^d \int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k(\lambda x)| dx = \int_{\|u\| \geq \lambda\eta} |k(u)| du;$$

this last term tends to 0 as λ tends to ∞ since $\eta > 0$ and because of the definition of the integral. □

Proof

Proof of a.

To verify the condition of Definition 13.a, we compute

$$\int k_\lambda(x) dx = \lambda^d \int k(\lambda x) dx = \int k(u) du = 1.$$

For part b we compute

$$\int |k_\lambda(x)| dx = \lambda^d \int |k(\lambda x)| dx = \int |k(u)| du = K < \infty,$$

where K is finite since $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For part c, take $\eta > 0$ and compute

$$\int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k_\lambda(x)| dx = \lambda^d \int_{\|x\| \geq \eta} |k(\lambda x)| dx = \int_{\|u\| \geq \lambda\eta} |k(u)| du;$$

this last term tends to 0 as λ tends to ∞ since $\eta > 0$ and because of the definition of the integral. □

Proof cont.

Proof of b .

Setting $w = \lambda u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f * k_\lambda(x) - f(x) &= \int [f(x - u) - f(x)] k_\lambda(u) du \\ &= \int \left[f\left(x - \frac{w}{\lambda}\right) - f(x) \right] k(w) dw \\ &= \int [T_{\frac{w}{\lambda}} f(x) - f(x)] k(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Minkowski's inequality for integrals:

$$\|f * k_\lambda - f\|_p \leq \int \|T_{\frac{w}{\lambda}} f - f\|_p |k(w)| dw.$$

As $\|T_{\frac{w}{\lambda}} f - f\|_p$ is bounded by $2\|f\|_p$ and tends to 0 as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for each w , the assertion follows from the dominated convergence theorem. \square

Proof cont.

Proof of c.

The last part follows from the evenness of k .

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(u)T_x k_{\lambda_m}(u)du &= \int f(u)k_{\lambda_m}(u-x)du \\ &= \int f(u)k_{\lambda_m}(x-u)du \\ &= f * k_{\lambda_m}(x).\end{aligned}$$



Canonical $K_0 \setminus A_0$

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, which is a $K_0 \setminus A_0$ Parseval frame wavelet set.
Let $m \geq 5$ and define $\phi_m = \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$.

$\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{17}{16}.$$

$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{1}_L^\vee)$ is a Parseval frame and $\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_L$ in L^2 , BUT the bounds do not change as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

The frame bounds are computed using the Chui-Shi-Jing bounds, Daubechies-Christensen bounds and another method using approximate identities introduced in [Benedetto K 08].

Canonical $K_0 \setminus A_0$

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, which is a $K_0 \setminus A_0$ Parseval frame wavelet set.
Let $m \geq 5$ and define $\phi_m = \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$.

$\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{17}{16}.$$

$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{1}_L^\vee)$ is a Parseval frame and $\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_L$ in L^2 , BUT the bounds do not change as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

The frame bounds are computed using the Chui-Shi-Jing bounds, Daubechies-Christensen bounds and another method using approximate identities introduced in [Benedetto K 08].

Canonical $K_0 \setminus A_0$

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, which is a $K_0 \setminus A_0$ Parseval frame wavelet set.
Let $m \geq 5$ and define $\phi_m = \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$.

$\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{17}{16}.$$

$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{1}_L^\vee)$ is a Parseval frame and $\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_L$ in L^2 , BUT the bounds do not change as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

The frame bounds are computed using the Chui-Shi-Jing bounds, Daubechies-Christensen bounds and another method using approximate identities introduced in [Benedetto K 08].

Canonical $K_0 \setminus A_0$

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, which is a $K_0 \setminus A_0$ Parseval frame wavelet set.
Let $m \geq 5$ and define $\phi_m = \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$.

$\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{17}{16}.$$

$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{1}_L^\vee)$ is a Parseval frame and $\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_L$ in L^2 , BUT the bounds do not change as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

The frame bounds are computed using the Chui-Shi-Jing bounds, Daubechies-Christensen bounds and another method using approximate identities introduced in [Benedetto K 08].

Spline smoothing

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, as above.

Let $m \geq 13$ and consider the approximate identity $\{\phi_m : m > 12\}$, where

$$\phi_m(\gamma) = \max(m(1 - m|\gamma|), 0), \quad \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{65}{64}.$$

Convolving with continuous splines gives upper frame bounds closer to 1, but the bounds are still uniformly bounded away from 1. We shall say there are *frame bound gaps*. (There is no Gibbs phenomenon using the Fejér kernel in Fourier series.)

Spline smoothing

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, as above.

Let $m \geq 13$ and consider the approximate identity $\{\phi_m : m > 12\}$, where

$$\phi_m(\gamma) = \max(m(1 - m|\gamma|), 0), \quad \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{65}{64}.$$

Convolving with continuous splines gives upper frame bounds closer to 1, but the bounds are still uniformly bounded away from 1. We shall say there are *frame bound gaps*. (There is no Gibbs phenomenon using the Fejér kernel in Fourier series.)

Spline smoothing

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, as above.

Let $m \geq 13$ and consider the approximate identity $\{\phi_m : m > 12\}$, where

$$\phi_m(\gamma) = \max(m(1 - m|\gamma|), 0), \quad \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{65}{64}.$$

Convolving with continuous splines gives upper frame bounds closer to 1, but the bounds are still uniformly bounded away from 1. We shall say there are *frame bound gaps*. (There is no Gibbs phenomenon using the Fejér kernel in Fourier series.)

Spline smoothing

Example

Let $L = [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, as above.

Let $m \geq 13$ and consider the approximate identity $\{\phi_m : m > 12\}$, where

$$\phi_m(\gamma) = \max(m(1 - m|\gamma|), 0), \quad \gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a wavelet frame with bounds

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq A \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ and } B = \frac{65}{64}.$$

Convolving with continuous splines gives upper frame bounds closer to 1, but the bounds are still uniformly bounded away from 1. We shall say there are *frame bound gaps*. (There is no Gibbs phenomenon using the Fejér kernel in Fourier series.)

Questions to answer

- Do we obtain a frame when we try to smooth $K_1 \setminus A_1$ from the 1- d Journé neighborhood mapping construction?
- Can we ever precisely determine the lower frame bound?
- What happens when we smooth $K_0 \setminus A_0$ from higher dimensional Journé constructions?
- Does a frame bound gaps occur for other wavelet sets and other approximate identities?

Questions to answer

- Do we obtain a frame when we try to smooth $K_1 \setminus A_1$ from the 1- d Journé neighborhood mapping construction?
- Can we ever precisely determine the lower frame bound?
- What happens when we smooth $K_0 \setminus A_0$ from higher dimensional Journé constructions?
- Does a frame bound gaps occur for other wavelet sets and other approximate identities?

Questions to answer

- Do we obtain a frame when we try to smooth $K_1 \setminus A_1$ from the 1- d Journé neighborhood mapping construction?
- Can we ever precisely determine the lower frame bound?
- What happens when we smooth $K_0 \setminus A_0$ from higher dimensional Journé constructions?
- Does a frame bound gaps occur for other wavelet sets and other approximate identities?

Questions to answer

- Do we obtain a frame when we try to smooth $K_1 \setminus A_1$ from the 1- d Journé neighborhood mapping construction?
- Can we ever precisely determine the lower frame bound?
- What happens when we smooth $K_0 \setminus A_0$ from higher dimensional Journé constructions?
- Does a frame bound gaps occur for other wavelet sets and other approximate identities?

When smoothing fails

Example

Let

$$L = \left[-\frac{9}{4}, -2\right) \cup \left[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{9}{32}\right) \cup \left[\frac{9}{32}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \left[2, \frac{9}{4}\right),$$

which is a $K_1 \setminus A_1$ Parseval frame wavelet set from the neighborhood mapping construction of the 1- d Journé set. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

- Define $\phi_m = \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$.
- $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is not a frame for any m .
- $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a Bessel sequence, and, for any $m \geq 65$, the Bessel bound is bounded between $\frac{305}{256}$ and $\frac{11}{8}$.

The fact that $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is not a frame can be shown by considering a specific sequence of functions constructed from approximate identities in L^2 ; this is the same method we developed to obtain the bounds on A in the previous 2 examples.

When smoothing fails

Example

Let

$$L = \left[-\frac{9}{4}, -2\right) \cup \left[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{9}{32}\right) \cup \left[\frac{9}{32}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \left[2, \frac{9}{4}\right),$$

which is a $K_1 \setminus A_1$ Parseval frame wavelet set from the neighborhood mapping construction of the 1- d Journé set. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

- Define $\phi_m = \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$.
- $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is not a frame for any m .
- $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is a Bessel sequence, and, for any $m \geq 65$, the Bessel bound is bounded between $\frac{305}{256}$ and $\frac{11}{8}$.

The fact that $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_L * \phi_m)^\vee)$ is not a frame can be shown by considering a specific sequence of functions constructed from approximate identities in L^2 ; this is the same method we developed to obtain the bounds on A in the previous 2 examples.

Frequency shrinking 1

Definition

For any measurable subset $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ define

$$\Delta(L) = \text{dist} \left(L, \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} (L + k) \right).$$

Theorem (Benedetto and K 08, Chui and Shi 92)

Let $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be a bounded measurable set such that $\Delta(L) > 0$, $\text{dist}(0, L) > 0$, and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^n L = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ up to a set of measure 0. If $\hat{\psi} \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ with

$$\text{supp } \hat{\psi} = \{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d : \hat{\psi}(\gamma) \neq 0\} = L,$$

then $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The frame bounds are $\text{essinf}_\gamma \kappa_\psi(\gamma)$ and $\text{esssup}_\gamma \kappa_\psi(\gamma)$.

Frequency shrinking 2

If the L is measurable and the closure $\overline{L} \subseteq (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$, then L is bounded and $\Delta(L) > 0$.

Corollary (Benedetto and K 08)

*Let L be a Parseval frame wavelet set from the neighborhood mapping construction. Let $\delta = \text{dist}(0, L) > 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that the closure $\alpha\overline{L} \subseteq (-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)^d$, for some $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Further let ϕ be an essentially bounded non-negative function whose support lies in $\min\{\frac{\alpha\delta}{2}, \epsilon\} \cdot (-1, 1)^d$ and contains a neighborhood about the origin. Then if $\hat{\psi} = \mathbb{1}_{\alpha L} * \phi$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Frequency shrinking 2

If the L is measurable and the closure $\overline{L} \subseteq (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$, then L is bounded and $\Delta(L) > 0$.

Corollary (Benedetto and K 08)

*Let L be a Parseval frame wavelet set from the neighborhood mapping construction. Let $\delta = \text{dist}(0, L) > 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that the closure $\alpha\overline{L} \subseteq (-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)^d$, for some $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Further let ϕ be an essentially bounded non-negative function whose support lies in $\min\{\frac{\alpha\delta}{2}, \epsilon\} \cdot (-1, 1)^d$ and contains a neighborhood about the origin. Then if $\hat{\psi} = \mathbb{1}_{\alpha L} * \phi$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Frequency shrinking example 1

Example

Let

$$L = \left[-\frac{9}{32}, -\frac{1}{4}\right) \cup \left[-\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{9}{256}\right) \cup \left[\frac{9}{256}, \frac{1}{16}\right) \cup \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{9}{32}\right).$$

Then L is $K_1 \setminus A_1$ from the 1- d Journé construction, shrunk by a factor of 8. Further let $\hat{\psi}_m = \mathbb{1}_L * \frac{n}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$. Then for any $m \geq 384$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is frame with bounds $\frac{81}{260}$ and $\frac{305}{256}$. Note that $\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_{8L} * \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]})^\vee)$ is not a frame for any $m > 0$.

Frequency shrinking example 2

Example

Let $L_a = [-a, -\frac{a}{2}) \cup [\frac{a}{2}, a)$ for $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$. Then L_a is $[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ from the 1-d Journé construction, dilated by a factor of $2a < 1$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{W}((\mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})} * \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]})^\vee)$$

is a frame with upper frame bound $\frac{17}{16}$ and lower frame bound between $\frac{2}{9}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$. Define $\hat{\psi}_{m,a} = \mathbb{1}_{L_a} * \frac{m}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}]}$. For $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $m \geq \max\{\frac{2}{1-2a}, \frac{6}{a}\}$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi_{m,a})$ is a frame with with frame bounds $\frac{9}{20}$ and $\frac{17}{16}$.

A heuristic argument

For $0 < \alpha$ and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\hat{\varphi}(\gamma) = \hat{\psi}(\alpha\gamma)$. Then
 $\mathcal{F}\varphi = \alpha^{-d/2} D_{\log_2 \alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \varphi &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F}\varphi \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha^{-d/2} D_{\log_2 \alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha^{-d/2} \mathcal{F} D_{-\log_2 \alpha})\psi \\ &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_{-\log_2 \alpha} \psi \\ \Rightarrow D_n T_k \varphi &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_n T_k D_{-\log_2 \alpha} \psi \\ &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_{n-\log_2 \alpha} T_{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\alpha = 2^N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{span}\{D_n T_k \varphi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} = \text{span}\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

One may call this an *oversampling* of the continuous wavelet system

$$\{D_{\log_2 r} T_s \psi : r > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

A heuristic argument

For $0 < \alpha$ and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\hat{\varphi}(\gamma) = \hat{\psi}(\alpha\gamma)$. Then
 $\mathcal{F}\varphi = \alpha^{-d/2} D_{\log_2 \alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \varphi &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F}\varphi \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha^{-d/2} D_{\log_2 \alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha^{-d/2} \mathcal{F} D_{-\log_2 \alpha})\psi \\ &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_{-\log_2 \alpha} \psi \\ \Rightarrow D_n T_k \varphi &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_n T_k D_{-\log_2 \alpha} \psi \\ &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_{n-\log_2 \alpha} T_{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\alpha = 2^N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{span}\{D_n T_k \varphi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} = \text{span}\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

One may call this an *oversampling* of the continuous wavelet system

$$\{D_{\log_2 r} T_s \psi : r > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

A heuristic argument

For $0 < \alpha$ and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\hat{\varphi}(\gamma) = \hat{\psi}(\alpha\gamma)$. Then
 $\mathcal{F}\varphi = \alpha^{-d/2} D_{\log_2 \alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \varphi &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F}\varphi \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha^{-d/2} D_{\log_2 \alpha} \mathcal{F}\psi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\alpha^{-d/2} \mathcal{F} D_{-\log_2 \alpha}) \psi \\ &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_{-\log_2 \alpha} \psi \\ \Rightarrow D_n T_k \varphi &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_n T_k D_{-\log_2 \alpha} \psi \\ &= \alpha^{-d/2} D_{n-\log_2 \alpha} T_{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\alpha = 2^N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{span}\{D_n T_k \varphi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} = \text{span}\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

One may call this an *oversampling* of the continuous wavelet system
 $\{D_{\log_2 r} T_s \psi : r > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Oversampling

Theorem (Chui and Shi 92)

Let $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ be a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds A and B . Then for every odd positive integer N , the family

$$\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{N}} \psi : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a frame with bounds \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} which satisfy $\tilde{A} \geq NA$ and $\tilde{B} \leq NB$.

Theorem (Bendetto and K 08)

There exist wavelet frames $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with bounds A and B such that

- $\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a frame with lower bound $\tilde{A} \neq A$
- $\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ has the same frame bounds as $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$.

Oversampling

Theorem (Chui and Shi 92)

Let $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ be a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds A and B . Then for every odd positive integer N , the family

$$\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{N}} \psi : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a frame with bounds \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} which satisfy $\tilde{A} \geq NA$ and $\tilde{B} \leq NB$.

Theorem (Bendetto and K 08)

There exist wavelet frames $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with bounds A and B such that

- $\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a frame with lower bound $\tilde{A} \neq A$.
- $\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{2^N}} \psi(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ has the same frame bounds as $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$.

Oversampling cont.

Theorem (Chui and Shi 92)

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ decay sufficiently fast and satisfy $\int \psi(x)dx = 0$. If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ forms a frame, then for any positive integer N ,

$$\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{N}} \psi : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a frame also.

Theorem (Bendetto and K 08)

There exist wavelet systems $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which are not frames, but are such that $\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \psi(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a frame for some $\alpha > 0$.

Oversampling cont.

Theorem (Chui and Shi 92)

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ decay sufficiently fast and satisfy $\int \psi(x)dx = 0$. If $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ forms a frame, then for any positive integer N ,

$$\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{N}} \psi : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a frame also.

Theorem (Bendetto and K 08)

There exist wavelet systems $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which are not frames, but are such that $\{D_n T_{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \psi(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a frame for some $\alpha > 0$.

Frame bound gap

Definition

Let $\psi \in L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ be a Parseval frame wavelet and $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$ be a sequence of frame wavelets (or wavelet systems which are Bessel sequences) with lower frame bounds A_m and upper frame bounds B_m (or just upper frame bounds B_m) for which

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi - \psi_m\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)} = 0.$$

If $\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m < 1$ or $\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m > 1$, then there is a *frame bound gap*. By Parseval's equality, $\|\psi - \psi_m\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)} = \|\hat{\psi} - \hat{\psi}_m\|_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)}$, so it suffices to check for convergence on the frequency domain.

A remark

Let $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be bounded and measurable and $g \in L^1_{loc}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$. For $m > 1$ define

$$\begin{aligned}g_{(m)}(\gamma) &= mg(m\gamma), \text{ and} \\ \hat{\psi}_m &= \mathbb{1}_L * g_{(m)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}_m(u) &= \int \mathbb{1}_L(u - \gamma)g_{(m)}(\gamma)d\gamma \\ &= \int \mathbb{1}_L(u - \frac{\gamma}{m})g(\gamma)d\gamma \\ &= \int_{-mL+mu} g(\gamma)d\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

A remark

Let $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be bounded and measurable and $g \in L^1_{loc}(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$. For $m > 1$ define

$$\begin{aligned}g_{(m)}(\gamma) &= mg(m\gamma), \text{ and} \\ \hat{\psi}_m &= \mathbb{1}_L * g_{(m)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}_m(u) &= \int \mathbb{1}_L(u - \gamma)g_{(m)}(\gamma)d\gamma \\ &= \int \mathbb{1}_L(u - \frac{\gamma}{m})g(\gamma)d\gamma \\ &= \int_{-mL+mu} g(\gamma)d\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Boxy-torus result

Theorem (Benedetto and K 08)

For $0 < a < 1/2$, let $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be the Parseval frame wavelet set $[-a, a]^d \setminus [-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}]^d$. Also let $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $\text{supp } g \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^d [-b_i, c_i]$, where for all i , $b_i, c_i > 0$;
- ii. $\int g(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$; and
- iii. $0 < \int_{\prod_{i=1}^d [\frac{c_i}{2}, c_i]} g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$ and $0 < \int_{\prod_{i=1}^d [-\frac{b_i}{2}, c_i]} g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$.

Define $\hat{\psi}_m = \mathbb{1}_L * g_{(m)}$. For any

$$m > \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{2(b_i + c_i)}{a}, \frac{b_i + c_i}{1 - 2a}, \frac{4b_i + c_i}{a}, \frac{4c_i + b_i}{a} \right\} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is a frame with frame bounds A_m and B_m , and there exist $\alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$, both independent of m , such that $A_m \leq \alpha$ and $B_m \geq \beta$. In particular, there are frame bound gaps.

Boxy-torus result

Theorem (Benedetto and K 08)

For $0 < a < 1/2$, let $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be the Parseval frame wavelet set $[-a, a]^d \setminus [-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}]^d$. Also let $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $\text{supp } g \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^d [-b_i, c_i]$, where for all i , $b_i, c_i > 0$;
- ii. $\int g(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$; and
- iii. $0 < \int_{\prod_{i=1}^d [\frac{c_i}{2}, c_i]} g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$ and $0 < \int_{\prod_{i=1}^d [-\frac{b_i}{2}, b_i]} g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$.

Define $\hat{\psi}_m = \mathbb{1}_L * g_{(m)}$. For any

$$m > \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{2(b_i + c_i)}{a}, \frac{b_i + c_i}{1 - 2a}, \frac{4b_i + c_i}{a}, \frac{4c_i + b_i}{a} \right\} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is a frame with frame bounds A_m and B_m , and there exist $\alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$, both independent of m , such that $A_m \leq \alpha$ and $B_m \geq \beta$. In particular, there are frame bound gaps.

Boxy-torus result

Theorem (Benedetto and K 08)

For $0 < a < 1/2$, let $L \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be the Parseval frame wavelet set $[-a, a]^d \setminus [-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}]^d$. Also let $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $\text{supp } g \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^d [-b_i, c_i]$, where for all i , $b_i, c_i > 0$;
- ii. $\int g(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$; and
- iii. $0 < \int_{\prod_{i=1}^d [\frac{c_i}{2}, c_i]} g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$ and $0 < \int_{\prod_{i=1}^d [-\frac{b_i}{2}, c_i]} g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$.

Define $\hat{\psi}_m = \mathbb{1}_L * g_{(m)}$. For any

$$m > \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{2(b_i + c_i)}{a}, \frac{b_i + c_i}{1 - 2a}, \frac{4b_i + c_i}{a}, \frac{4c_i + b_i}{a} \right\} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is a frame with frame bounds A_m and B_m , and there exist $\alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$, both independent of m , such that $A_m \leq \alpha$ and $B_m \geq \beta$. In particular, there are frame bound gaps.

Boxy-torus result cont.

- Any non-negative function $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which integrates to 1 and has support $\text{supp } g = \prod_{i=1}^d [-b_i, c_i]$ satisfies the hypotheses.
- This result holds true if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Sketch.

Since m is large enough, we may apply some of the preceding theorems to conclude that $\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is a frame.

We then estimate the Chui-Shi bounds. □

Boxy-torus result cont.

- Any non-negative function $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which integrates to 1 and has support $\text{supp } g = \prod_{i=1}^d [-b_i, c_i]$ satisfies the hypotheses.
- This result holds true if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Sketch.

Since m is large enough, we may apply some of the preceding theorems to conclude that $\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is a frame.

We then estimate the Chui-Shi bounds. □

Boxy-torus result cont.

- Any non-negative function $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which integrates to 1 and has support $\text{supp } g = \prod_{i=1}^d [-b_i, c_i]$ satisfies the hypotheses.
- This result holds true if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Sketch.

Since m is large enough, we may apply some of the preceding theorems to conclude that $\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ is a frame.

We then estimate the Chui-Shi bounds. □

Frame bound convergence

Corollary

For $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$, let $L_d \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be the wavelet set $[-a, a]^d \setminus [-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}]^d$. Also, let $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- i. $\text{supp } g \subseteq [-b, c]$ for some $b, c > 0$;
- ii. $\int g(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$; and
- iii. $0 < \int_{\frac{c}{2}}^c g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$ and $0 < \int_{-\frac{b}{2}}^c g(\gamma) d\gamma < 1$.

Define $g_d = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Further define $\hat{\psi}_{m,d} = \mathbb{1}_{L_d} * g_{d(m)}$. Then for large enough m and $d \geq 1$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi_{m,d})$ is a frame with bounds $A_{m,d}$ and $B_{m,d}$ which are bounded away from 1 uniformly over m . For such m , $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} B_{m,d} = 2$.

Frame bound convergence, cont.

Proof.

Using the same methods as above, we may determine that

$$A_{m,d} \leq \left(1 - \left(\int_{\frac{c}{2}}^c g(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^d \right)^2 < 1, \text{ and}$$
$$B_{m,d} \geq \left(1 - \left(\int_{-\frac{b}{2}}^c g(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^d \right)^2 + 1 > 1.$$



Frame bound convergence, cont.

Proof.

Using the same methods as above, we may determine that

$$A_{m,d} \leq \left(1 - \left(\int_{\frac{c}{2}}^c g(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^d \right)^2 < 1, \text{ and}$$
$$B_{m,d} \geq \left(1 - \left(\int_{-\frac{b}{2}}^c g(\gamma) d\gamma \right)^d \right)^2 + 1 > 1.$$



Frame bound gaps for $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ wavelet sets

Theorem (Benedetto and K 08)

Let $L = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}} [a_j, b_j]$ be a Parseval frame wavelet set. Let $g : \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function satisfying $\int g(\gamma) d\gamma = 1$ and with support $\text{supp } g = [-c, d]$, where $c, d > 0$. Define $\hat{\psi}_m = \mathbb{1}_L * g(m)$ for $m > \frac{c+d}{b_j - a_j}$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$. Then if $\mathcal{W}(\psi_m)$ forms a Bessel sequence, the upper frame bound satisfies $B_m \geq \beta > 1$, where β is independent of m . In particular, there is a frame bound gap.

S Parseval frames

Theorem (Han, early 90s)

Suppose that a Parseval frame wavelet set $L \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is such that

$$\text{dist}(L, \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} (L + k)) > 0$$

Let $m > 0$. There exists $\psi_m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi}_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Parseval frame, and $\hat{1}_L = \hat{\psi}_m$ except on a set of measure $\frac{1}{m}$.

Corollary (K 08)

Let L be a set $K_n \setminus A_n$ from a 1-d implementation of the neighborhood mapping construction. Let $\delta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\text{clos}\{2^m L\} \subseteq (-\frac{1}{2} + \delta, \frac{1}{2} - \delta)^d$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Parseval frame and the measure of $\text{supp}(\psi) \setminus L$ is arbitrarily small.

S Parseval frames

Theorem (Han, early 90s)

Suppose that a Parseval frame wavelet set $L \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is such that

$$\text{dist}(L, \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} (L + k)) > 0$$

Let $m > 0$. There exists $\psi_m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi}_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Parseval frame, and $\hat{1}_L = \hat{\psi}_m$ except on a set of measure $\frac{1}{m}$.

Corollary (K 08)

Let L be a set $K_n \setminus A_n$ from a 1-d implementation of the neighborhood mapping construction. Let $\delta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\text{clos}\{2^m L\} \subseteq (-\frac{1}{2} + \delta, \frac{1}{2} - \delta)^d$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{W}(\psi)$ is a Parseval frame and the measure of $\text{supp}(\psi) \setminus L$ is arbitrarily small.

C^∞ partitions of unity

- In topology and analysis, *partitions of unity*, especially those which are smooth are particularly useful.
- Let $f(\gamma) = e^{-1/\gamma} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\gamma)$ and $0 < a < b$. A common *bump function* employed in such a construction is

$$\frac{f(b - |\gamma|)}{f(b - |\gamma|) + f(|\gamma| - a)}.$$

- We can use these functions to define smooth frames which have frame bounds which converge to 1.

C^∞ partitions of unity

- In topology and analysis, *partitions of unity*, especially those which are smooth are particularly useful.
- Let $f(\gamma) = e^{-1/\gamma} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\gamma)$ and $0 < a < b$. A common *bump function* employed in such a construction is

$$\frac{f(b - |\gamma|)}{f(b - |\gamma|) + f(|\gamma| - a)}.$$

- We can use these functions to define smooth frames which have frame bounds which converge to 1.

C^∞ partitions of unity

- In topology and analysis, *partitions of unity*, especially those which are smooth are particularly useful.
- Let $f(\gamma) = e^{-1/\gamma} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty)}(\gamma)$ and $0 < a < b$. A common *bump function* employed in such a construction is

$$\frac{f(b - |\gamma|)}{f(b - |\gamma|) + f(|\gamma| - a)}.$$

- We can use these functions to define smooth frames which have frame bounds which converge to 1.

That's all folks!